(e) if so, the steps taken and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (DR. MURLI MANOHAR JOSHI): (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. Permisssion to shoot film in the protected monuments is accorded under the provisions of Rule 42, 43 & 44 of the A.M.A.S.R. Rule, 1959, on payment of a prescribed fee of Rs. 5,000/- per monument. An amount of Rs. 2339.30 lakhs has been incurred on repairs and maintenance of monuments during 1997-98. During the year 1997-98, 120 film makers were granted permission for filming in the protected monuments. An amount of Rs. 14.00 lakhs has been collected by way of fees.

(d) and (e) It is proposed to revise the present fee structure after inter-ministerial consultations. It will, therefore, not be possbile to indicate a likely time frame for its introduction.

Harassment of Female Teachers in Kendriya Vidyalayas

2269. SHRI SURYABHAN PATIL VAHADANE: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that the cases of harassment of female teachers by the principals of Kendriya Vidyalayas of Delhi region are increasing;

(b) in what manner female teachers of Kendriya Vidyalayas can protect themselves from such harassment by principals, give details of the laws, rules and regulations in this connection;

(c) what steps Government propose to take to protect the innocent female teachers of Kendriya Vidyalayas from such harassment by principals; and

(d) what action Government propose to take to curb such activities of the principals of KVs?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (DR. MURLI MANOHAR JOSHI): (a) A few complaints and counter complaints 1 have been received against teachers and Principals.

(b) to (d) In such cases the female teachers are protected under the normal law of the land and the service Rules of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan. Further, the Sangathan has also constituted a complaints committee in respect of Delhi region and Headquarters office as per guidelines and norms laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Vishaka and others Vs. State of Rajasthan and Others (JT 1997(7) SC 384).

Self-immolation by a student due to delay in announcing results

2270. SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention had been drawn to the self-immolation resorted to by a 20 year old student of Rajasthan University, to protest in disgust, against the inordinate delay in announcement of results;

(b) if so, what are the powers and functions of the University Grants Commission to ensure that Universities observe the prescribed curriculum and schedule for examination and declaration of results, to prevent such disgust; and

(c) the steps taken and being taken by Government to enforce strict adherence thereto?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (DR. MURLI MANOHAR JOSHI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) Universities are mtonomous in character. UGC has been issigncd the responsibility of co-ordination and determination of standards in universities. In discharge of its responsibility, UGC has framed